

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,140	07/09/2003	Serge Dupras		8091
7:	590 09/30/2004		EXAMINER	
Serge Dupras 8880, rue St-Jacques			MOY, JOSEPH MAN	
Mirabel	cques		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2B5		3727	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		j				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,140	DUPRAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph Moy	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO: by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı .					
	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction a	ithdrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·				

Serial Number: 10/615140

Art Unit: 3727

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) Figs.1;
- (2) Figs.2;
- (3) Figs.3;
- (4) Figs.4;
- (5) Figs.5-8;
- (6) Figs.9;
- (7) Figs. 10;
- (8) Figs.11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145

Date: 09/027/04

Joseph Man-Fu Moy Primary Examiner